

# LOOKING UNDER THE STREET LAMP

## *Physics Lessons from Computer Science*

*Physics Off the Beaten Path*

*Saturday July 17, 2010 3:00 PM*

*Room BBC003, Boccardo Business Center*

*San Jose State University*

**David McGoveran**

**July 17, 2010**



**Alternative Technologies**

**POB 2097**

**Boulder Creek, CA 95006**

**Vmail: 831/338-4621**

**Email: [mcgoveran@AlternativeTech.com](mailto:mcgoveran@AlternativeTech.com)**

**Web: [www.AlternativeTech.com](http://www.AlternativeTech.com)**

# ***PHYSICS IS COMPUTER SCIENCE!***

- **LARGE HADRON COLLIDER, CERN**
  - ATLAS EXPERIMENTS
  - MASSIVE DATA COLLECTION
    - » 320 MB/SEC ELECTRICAL SIGNAL OUTPUT
    - » EVENT SUMMARY DATA IN AN OBJECT ORIENTED MODEL
    - » 1 GB/SEC ANALYSIS OBJECT DATA
    - »  $3.156 \times 10^{17}$  BYTES/YEAR IF RUN CONTINUOUSLY
- **DATABASES, DATA MINING, AND ANALYSIS**
  - EXAMPLE ANALYSIS OF VERY LARGE DATABASES
- **INFORMAL CONCEPTUAL TALK**
  - FOCUS ON COMPUTER TRANSACTIONS

# ***TRANSACTION BASICS***

- **DATABASES**

- ORGANIZED COLLECTION OF DATA
- DATABASE MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE
- READ AND WRITE CAPABILITIES
- DATABASE STATES

- **TRANSACTIONS**

- A USER INTERACTION WITH THE DATABASE
- GROUPINGS OF OPERATIONS
- EQUIVALENT TO A DATA TRANSFORMATION
- BANKING EXAMPLES: DEPOSITS, WITHDRAWALS, TRANSFERS
- TRANSITION DATABASE BETWEEN TWO STATES THAT SATISFY CONSTRAINTS



# ***TRANSACTION BASICS***

- **CONCURRENT TRANSACTIONS**
  - MULTIPLE SIMULTANEOUS TRANSACTIONS
  - POSSIBILITY OF INTERFERENCE
    - » OVERWRITE EACH OTHERS CHANGES
    - » CHANGE RELIED UPON DATA
- **THE FORMAL FIX: ACID PROPERTIES**
  - ATOMICITY
  - CONSISTENCY
  - ISOLATION
  - DURABILITY





# ***TRANSACTION BASICS***

---

- **ATOMICITY**

**Either all the operations in the group execute successfully or none do. Thus, they operate as if they were a single transformation.**

- **CONSISTENCY**

**The states of the database immediately before and immediately after the transaction executes are consistent with respect to all constraints on the database.**



# ***TRANSACTION BASICS***

---

- **ISOLATION**

**The action of the transaction on the database is as if the transaction had run in isolation from all other transactions (interpreted as permitting no interaction between concurrent transactions).**

- **DURABILITY**

**The database state resulting from transaction execution persists in non-volatile storage until altered by another transaction, so that each observed database state and the observed history of database states may be relied upon.**



# ***TRANSACTION BASICS***

- **SERIALIZABILITY FOR ISOLATION**
  - RESULT OF CONCURRENT EXECUTION IS IDENTICAL TO SOME SEQUENTIAL EXECUTION
  - TRANSACTION A STARTED BEFORE TRANSACTION B
  - OPERATIONS OF A AND B ARE INTERLEAVED
  - COMPLETED (CALLED “COMMIT”)
  - EFFECT IS AS IF TRANSACTION B RUN, THEN TRANSACTION A



# ***TRANSACTION BASICS***

- **CORRECTNESS OF UPDATING AND QUERYING**
- **CRITICAL FOR SHARED DATABASES**
- **DISTRIBUTED TRANSACTIONS**
  - ATOMIC BEHAVIOR AND CONSISTENCY
  - MULTIPLE DATABASES BEHAVE SIMILAR TO A SINGLE DATABASE
- **SUBTLE THEORETICAL ASSUMPTIONS**
  - WELL DEFINED GROUP IN ADVANCE OF EXECUTION
  - CONSTRAINTS FIXED OVER TIME
  - FIXED SEMANTICS
  - ISOLATION OVER TEMPORAL ORDER
  - UNIQUE, RECOVERABLE HISTORY



# ***TRANSACTION BASICS***

- **PERFORMANCE IMPACT OF ACID PROPERTIES**
  - PRACTITIONERS FAIL TO ENFORCE ATOMICITY OF DISTRIBUTED TRANSACTIONS
  - OFTEN REMOVE OR FAIL TO DEFINE CONSTRAINTS
  - PERMIT BREAKING OF ISOLATION
    - » PERMITS CLASSES OF ERRORS
  - RISK LOSS OF DURABILITY
    - » GROUP CHANGES OF MULTIPLE TRANSACTIONS
    - » WRITE ALL AFTER COMMIT
- **NUMERIOUS ATTEMPTS TO FIX**

# ***EMERGENT TRANSACTIONS***

- **OVER A DECADE OF RESEARCH**
- **TWO PATENTS**
  - FOR EXAMPLE: U.S. Patent 7,103,597
- **INVERTS TRANSACTION DEFINITION**
  - EXAMINE STATES AFTER CHANGE
  - IF CONSISTENT WITH CONSTRAINTS, THEN POTENTIAL TRANSACTION BOUNDARY CALLED A “CONSISTENCY POINT”
- **FLEXIBILITY**
  - CONSTRAINTS CAN CHANGE
  - GROUP OF OPERATIONS DYNAMIC
  - TRANSACTIONS CAN SHARE STATE AT CONSISTENCY POINTS
  - AUTOMATED ERROR CORRECTION
  - REDUCES PERFORMANCE COST

# ***EMERGENT TRANSACTIONS***

- **INTERPRETING DATABASE HISTORIES**
  - RECORD OF OPERATIONS AND STATES
- **TEMPORALITY**
  - DATA ELEMENT LOCAL TIME: INCREMENT WHENEVER TOUCHED
  - TRANSACTION ORDER CAN CHANGE
  - CHANGES EFFECTIVE TEMPORAL ORDER
  - GLOBAL TIME DEPENDS ON CONSTRAINTS
- **OBJECTS**
  - TRANSACTIONS DEFINE OBJECTS
  - BUT CONSTRAINTS DETERMINE TRANSACTIONS



# ***EMERGENT TRANSACTIONS***

- **USING THE THEORY: EXAMPLE**

- DATABASE FORENSICS

- » MISSING TRANSACTION HISTORY

- » MUST DETERMINE CONSTRAINTS

- **OBSERVATIONS**

- FOR TRANSACTIONS WITH INVERSE, TEMPORAL HISTORY IS SYMMETRIC ABOUT TRANSACTION

- FOR COMMUTATIVE TRANSACTIONS, TEMPORAL HISTORY IS SYMMETRIC ACROSS TRANSACTIONS

- ADDING CONSTRAINTS CAN MAKE A TRANSACTION INVERTIBLE

- » EXAMPLE: AVERAGE VELOCITY AND DISTRIBUTION

# ***EMERGENT TRANSACTIONS***

- **OBSERVATIONS**

- **ROLLBACK AND RECOVERY ALTERNATIVES CAN**
  - » **MAKE AN INTERVENING TRANSACTION DISAPPEAR**
  - » **MAKE A POTENTIAL TRANSACTION CAUSAL**
  - » **ALTER THE EXECUTION ORDER OF TWO OR MORE TRANSACTIONS**
- **CHANGING CONSTRAINTS CAN CHANGE OUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT OBJECTS ARE REPRESENTED BY THE DATA**
  - » **CHANGES CONSISTENCY POINTS**
  - » **ALTERS DATA ELEMENTS WITHIN TRANSACTION BOUNDARIES**

# ***AND THAT'S PHYSICS***

- **INTERACTION EVENTS ARE TRANSACTIONS**
  - EVENTS SATISFY A SET OF CONSTRAINTS
    - » CONSERVATION LAWS
    - » MOMENTUM
- **PARTICLES BETWEEN EVENTS ARE OBJECTS**
  - CONCEPTUAL AT BEST
  - CONNECTION BETWEEN EVENTS IS A CONSTRAINT ISSUE
- **MACROSCOPIC TIME**
  - IS INTERPRETATION
  - WE IMPOSE FROM A “CLASSICAL FRAME”
  - CANNOT JUSTIFY IDENTIFYING WITH MICROSCOPIC TIME
    - » INTERNAL TO EVENT



# ***AND THAT'S PHYSICS***

- **DISCRETE ATTRIBUTE DISTANCES**
  - FOUNDATIONS OF DISCRETE PHYSICS
  - ANALOGOUS TO DIGITAL EVENT SIGNATURE
  - NO A PRIORI PRECEDENCE
  - NO JUSTIFICATION FOR ANALYTICITY OR EVEN A MONOTONIC CORRELATION
- **ELECTROMAGNETIC ATTRIBUTE DISTANCE**
  - MACROSCOPIC FRAME
  - INVALID WITHIN EVENTS UNLESS EVENT IS COMPOUND
    - » SIMILAR TO HAVING INTERNAL CONSISTENCY POINTS
    - » MEANS NOT COHERENT, COUPLED TO OTHER EVENTS
- **MULTIPLY CONNECTED SPACE**
  - ACTION AT A DISTANCE



# AND THAT'S PHYSICS

- **SUMMARY**

- **SO WHERE DOES THE “ELECTROMAGNETIC” STREETLAMP SHINE?**
- **IT DEPENDS ON THE CONSTRAINTS WE IMPOSE (OR EXPECT)**

***“The Old One is discrete but ornery: He presents us with more combinations than he permits us to count. Hence we see ‘randomness’ or ‘miracles’ and are humbled.” – McGoveran, 2009***



# ***REFERENCES***

---

- **TBD**



# Questions?